UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,355	02/25/2004	Robert Linley Muir	FBR 21.015 4864	
	7590 09/25/200 CHIN ROSENMAN LI	EXAMINER		
575 MADISON	I AVENUE	THOMASSON, MEAGAN J		
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary				MUIR, ROBERT LINLEY			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		 Meagan Th	nomasson	3714			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1 -		orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •	/ IC CET T/	O EVELE A MONTH	(C) OD TUBTY (20) DAYO			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no eve will apply and wil , cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 De	ecember 20	<u>)06</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election re	equirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004 is/are	e: a)⊠ acc	epted or b) objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	=				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
ĺ	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity docume	nts have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	•					
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certif	ied copies not receive	∍d.			
Attachme							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of Informal F				
Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date		6)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

No amendments have been made to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 1,2,4-12,14-16,18-26,28 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneier et al. (US 5,871,398).

Regarding claims 1,15,31 and 32 Schneier et al. discloses a method of operating a gaming system including one or more secure storage and processing devices [memory 100 containing an operating system, including one-way function 144 as shown in Fig. 6; col. 14, line 33-col. 15, line 22], a gaming server [CMC 12] and one or more gaming consoles [HTV 20], each console including a secure storage and processing device read/write interface [94] and a user interface allowing a user to initiate a game and observe a result [Display 84], and the server including a random seed generator and being in communication with a secure storage and processing device read/write interface (col. 24, lines 29-32, wherein "game outcomes are generated in the HTV based upon a random seed value from the central management computer"), the method comprising;

Art Unit: 3714

The server creating a random seed, and communicating the seed for storage in one of the secure storage and processing devices, via the secure storage and processing device read/write interface with which the server is in communication, to provide a plurality of predetermined outcomes for games to be played on one or more of the gaming consoles (col. 15, lines 20-25), and

Communicating between one of the gaming consoles and one of the secure storage and processing devices via the respective secure storage and processing device read/write interface, and upon receipt of a user input initiate a game on the console, wherein the game requires a set of random numbers to produce an outcome, producing in the secure storage and processing device, a set of random numbers required to play a game, from the random number seed and producing a game play sequence including a game and/or gamble outcome indication determined by the random numbers produced by the secure storage and processing device alone or in combination with a user input (col. 15, lines 40-50).

Schneier et al. does not specifically disclose the server creating a plurality of random seeds ... to provide a plurality of predetermined outcomes for future games.

However, Schneier does disclose that multiple outcomes may be generated using a single seed received from the central server, wherein said multiple outcomes may be used to play multiple games (col. 15, lines 40-47, "The HTV 20 includes a game generation routine ... which provides for the generation of various games in accordance with the purchased outcome data"). These multiple games cannot be played simultaneously, and therefore every win or lose outcome that will be generated as a

Application/Control Number: 10/787,355

Art Unit: 3714

result of playing these multiple games comprises a "future game" outcome. That is, the invention disclosed by Schneier teaches providing a plurality of predetermined outcomes for future games to be played on the gaming console utilizing a single random number seed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize either a plurality of random seeds or a single random seed, as it is possible to generate multiple game outcomes using both without changing the overall effect of the gaming device.

Regarding claims 2,16 Schneier discloses when calculating the set of random numbers from the random number seed, the secure storage and processing device uses an algorithm known to the server whereby the server can predict the outcome derived (col. 15, lines 20-28, "... the same one-way function is stored in the CMC memory 32 ... to enable the CMC 12 to verify the data).

Regarding claim 4,18 Schneier discloses after the set of random numbers to be used to determine a gamble outcome are produced by the secure storage and processing device, the console then chooses a game outcome which will achieve that gamble outcome (col. 15, lines 45-50).

Regarding claim 5,19 Schneier discloses after the set of random numbers to be used to determine a gamble outcome are produced by the secure storage and processing device, the secure storage and processing device then chooses a game outcome which will achieve that gamble outcome and communicates the chosen game outcome to the console, as col. 15, lines 45-50 disclose the game program unit **152** is utilized to determine the game outcome that meets the gamble outcome generated by

the secure storage and processing device is contained within the secure storage and processing device HTV Memory 100.

Regarding claims 6,7,20 and 21, Schneier discloses the secure storage and processing device generates game verification data which is stored until the secure storage and processing device is in communication with the gaming server at which time the secure storage and processing device communications the game verification data to the gaming server (col. 18, lines 34-67). The secure storage and processing device communicates the game verification data to the gaming server via the console, as the HTV memory **100** is located within the console.

Regarding claim 8,22 Schneier discloses when one of the secure storage and processing devices is connected to a console the gaming server communicates new random seeds to the secure storage and processing device via the console thereby allowing the player to recharge the games stored on the secure storage and processing device (col. 17, lines 10-20).

Regarding claim 9,23 Schneier discloses the secure storage and processing device, i.e. game console, need not be in communication with the gaming server when the game is played (abstract), and each time the secure storage and processing device is connected to the gaming server, it will generate and send a signal to the server indicated the stored game verification data corresponding to the random seed that has been used (col. 28, line 58-col. 29, line 25).

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claim 10,24 Schneier discloses game play may include a set in which the player makes a bet on the outcome of each game, as this is a known method of playing lottery games (abstract).

Regarding claims 11,12,25 and 26, Schneier discloses the secure storage and processing device disclosed by Schneier is programmed to maintain accounting records of the player's account balance as a result of wins and losses incurred during gaming (Fig. 6, Accounting unit 154 located in secure storage and processing device HTV memory 100). All wagering-type gaming devices have an inherent maximum loss value, wherein the player's account balance is said maximum loss value such that if the sum of the player bets exceeds the wins by the maximum loss value or greater, i.e. the player places a wager larger than their current account balance, the device will prohibit this gaming transaction as the player does not have enough credits to enable said transaction. Thus, the secure storage and processing device would prevent the player from placing a bet that will cause the maximum loss value, i.e. the player account balance, to be exceeded.

Regarding claim 14,30 Schneier discloses the console sends a signal to the secure storage and processing device describing a state of a game being played for communication to the gaming server in col. 18, lines 34-67), wherein a state of a game is interpreted as comprising the player's account status (e.g. winning state if account balance is greater than beginning balance, etc.).

Regarding claim 28, Schneier discloses the server includes an auditing device for checking game verification data returns from the secure device in the console (Fig. 3. CMC Memory 32, Audit unit 78).

Claim 3,13,17,27,29,33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneier et al. (US 5,871,398) in view of McCarthy (US 5,276,312).

Schneier discloses a gaming system comprising one or more secure storage and processing devices, a gaming server and one or more gaming consoles, wherein a central server generates a random seed to be utilized by said secure storage and processing device to generate game outcomes, as described above.

Schneier does not specifically disclose the secure storage and processing device is a smartcard or smartcard chip. Instead, Schneier discloses the secure storage and processing device is contained in the gaming console in the form of the memory 100, which in turn contains one-way function 144 (Fig. 6). Schneier discloses the use of a smart card, wherein an authenticatable game authorization message AGAM may be written to a memory in the smart card 28, the smart card may be inserted into the gaming console to be read by said console (col. 14, lines 12-17). Schneier further discloses the AGAM may comprise a random number seed for communication from the central server to the gaming console via the smart card (col. 15, lines 20-22). However, in this embodiment, the smart card disclosed by Schneier does not process the random

Application/Control Number: 10/787,355

Page 8

Art Unit: 3714

number seed in order to generate game outcomes to be displayed by the game console.

In an analogous gaming device, McCarthy discloses the use of smart cards in conjunction with a central server and gaming terminals in order to facilitate the transfer and processing of gaming data. McCarthy specifically discloses the smart card contains information obtained from the central server, including gaming outcome data for use at a gaming terminal, and a processor (col. 8, lines 10-35; Fig. 3). Thus, the combinations of the teachings of Schneier and McCarthy would have been capable of producing a set of random numbers required to play the game in a smart card that is external to the gaming console. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Schneier and McCarthy as all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 13,27 Schneier discloses the secure storage and processing device read/write interface of each gaming console communicates with secure storage on the smartcard via a secure communications system provided by a further smartcard device (col. 13, lines 5-34).

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/787,355

Page 9

Art Unit: 3714

Applicant's arguments filed December 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Specifically, applicant argues that Schneider does not disclose a secure storage device producing or calculating a set of random numbers indicating a game or gamble outcome from a server-provided seed to play a game. In fact, Schneier discloses such a secure storage and processing device as the HTV Memory unit 100, as shown in Fig. 6. Further, applicant's specification recites "In one embodiment of the invention, the secure storage and processing means is a smart card which may be permanently fixed in the console" (Specification P. 6, 6th paragraph; and as shown in Fig. 2 of applicant's drawings). Therefore, the HTV Memory 100 disclosed by Schneier performs the same function of receiving a random number seed from a server and producing game outcomes from said seed, as described in col. 15, lines 20-25, and also meets the apparatus limitations of said secure storage and processing device as described in applicant's specification. Only claim 34 recites the limitations of said secure storage and processing device receives a random number seed from a server and producing game outcomes from said seed, and said secure storage and processing device is removable from said gaming console, which has been addressed by the new rejection in view of McCarthy (US 5,276,312).

Regarding applicant's arguments that Schneier does not disclose the dependent claim limitations please see the above rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meagan Thomasson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2080. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 830-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Meagan Thomasson September 18, 2007

> XUAN M. THAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER